From: <u>Tasya Gray</u>
To: <u>Knittel, Janette</u>

Cc: Tahiry, Howasta; "King, Aaron S CIV USARMY CENWK (USA)"

Subject: RE: questions on proposed additional sampling - Rhone-Poulenc/Container Properties

**Date:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 8:02:52 AM

Hi Janette,

Received, and we are working through these.

Thanks, Tasya

From: Knittel, Janette < Knittel. Janette@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Monday, January 24, 2022 3:21 PM **To:** Tasya Gray <ngray@dofnw.com>

Cc: Tahiry, Howasta < Tahiry. Howie@epa.gov>; 'King, Aaron S CIV USARMY CENWK (USA)'

<Aaron.S.King@usace.army.mil>

**Subject:** questions on proposed additional sampling - Rhone-Poulenc/Container Properties

Hi Tasya,

I've talked with Howie and Aaron about the additional sampling proposed in the progress report you sent on January 10. We have a few questions that we'd like you to answer. Apologies if you had already covered some of this during our meeting on December 17.

- 1. Please provide one table that presents the additional sample locations and analyses along with those to be collected in the upcoming Round 95 event.
- 2. Explain to us your justification for not collecting additional dioxin/furan analysis during this upcoming round, given the detections in wells MW-38R and MW-47.
- 3. Explain the metals chosen for analysis per Table 3 from the progress report. For example, why is copper not an analyte for Total Metals; why is chromium listed but not chosen for any of the sampling locations; and why were certain metals included but others like mercury were not?
- 4. Justify why sampling would not be necessary for SVOCs besides pentachlorophenol. The last bullet on Slide 16 in the December 17 PowerPoint says, "Other SVOCs were generally below PRGs with a few exceptions where detections were still quite low." Why were these other SVOCs excluded? Locations like B6 and EX-1 had exceedances of SVOCs that were not pentachlorophenol, therefore it would not be appropriate to only use pentachlorophenol as a driver.
- 5. For the NAPL assessment, why were SVOCs and PCBs chosen for the NAPL analysis, and why was petroleum hydrocarbon analysis not selected? Also, why would groundwater from MW-12 not be sampled for SVOCs anyway?
- 6. As a reminder, ensure that all analytical methods including those for geochemical parameters are covered by the approved QAPP.

Let me know if you need me to clarify any of our questions/comments. Regards,
Janette

## Janette Knittel

U.S. EPA Region 10
Land, Chemical, and Redevelopment Division
RCRA Corrective Action, Permits, and PCB Section
1200 6th Ave, Suite 155, 15-H04
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone 206-553-0483
Knittel.Janette@epa.gov